

File With _____

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO: ABP 314485-22Defer Re O/H ☐Having considered the contents of the submission dated received 02/04/2024
fromJim Isdale I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new material issuesE.O.: Pat BDate: 18/04/2024

For further consideration by SEO/SAO

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: _____

Date: _____

S.A.O.: _____

Date: _____

M _____

Please prepare BP _____ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached
submission

to: _____ Task No: _____

Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP _____

EO: _____

Date: _____

AA: _____

Date: _____

File With _____

CORRESPONDENCE FORM

Appeal No: ABP 314485-22

M _____

Please treat correspondence received on 02/04/2024 as follows:

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____ | 1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____ |
| 2. Acknowledge with BP <u>23</u> | 2. Keep Envelope: <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Keep copy of Board's Letter <input type="checkbox"/> | 3. Keep Copy of Board's letter <input type="checkbox"/> |

Amendments/Comments Jim Isdale response to S.13112/03/24: 02/04/24 ✓

4. Attach to file

- | | |
|---|---|
| (a) R/S <input type="checkbox"/> | (d) Screening <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (b) GIS Processing <input type="checkbox"/> | (e) Inspectorate <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (c) Processing <input type="checkbox"/> | |

RETURN TO EO ☐EO: Pat EDate: 18/04/2024Plans Date Stamped ☐Date Stamped Filled in ☐AA: Anthony McNallyDate: 25/04/2024

Alfie Staunton

From: Bord
Sent: Wednesday 3 April 2024 08:59
To: Appeals2
Subject: FW: ABP 314485-22
Attachments: JI_24.docx

From: James Isdale <jamesisdale@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 4:59 PM
To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>; James Isdale <Jamesisdale@gmail.com>
Subject: ABP 314485-22

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Jim Isdale
Macepool,
Kilsallaghan,
Co. Dublin,
K67FK61

2nd April 2024

An Bord Pleanála,
64 Marlborough Street,
Dublin 1.
D01 V902

Re Case ABP-314485-22 Planning Reference Number F20A/0668

Dear Sirs,

I refer to the response from Tom Philips and Associates dated 14th September 2023 on behalf of Dublin Airport Authority plc, their covering letter, relevant documents and maps pertaining to aircraft activity at Dublin Aerodrome.

My primary concern are my lands and residential home being subjected to excessive aircraft noise and air pollution. The new northern runway 28R/10L is primarily but not exclusively causing me great concern and I am fast approaching a complete disillusionment of the systems upholding planning laws in this country.

I am totally shocked to see the extension of noise contours but somewhat relieved to have my concerns validated as I have known from since its inception date in August 2022 that the new north runway was operating outside of its planning conditions granted in 2007. I have spoken to several neighbours who are in disbelief with the situation. None of my immediate neighbours being in the County of Meath were made aware via newspaper or site notice or any alternative method the impact of flight paths over their homes and lands. People are simply flabbergasted and are not prepared to accept the current status quo.

I support SMTW (St. Margaret The Ward Residents Group) and FORUM (Fingal Organised Residents Union Movement) observations that they will submit in response to this significant additional information. I thoroughly consider the matter unacceptable and unjust and damaging to mental well-being in the communities. The entire application must be refused immediately.

I have no interest in the proposed noise insulation mitigation scheme as I recognise it as a ruse to disguise the negative impact of the current flight paths and it will not serve to dissuade me in any manner at all to the hugely negative health consequences arising from this debacle.

We have been advised by community groups there is and has never been any reason since the opening of the new north runway to subject communities who had no preannounced health warnings to current flight paths, flight paths that are clearly leaving environmental noise contours that were absolutely stipulated in conditions attached to 2007 planning.

I am relying on the decision of An Bord Pleanála to protect my children's health and future wellbeing. Please do not hesitate to refuse this application.

Yours sincerely,

Jim Isdale